arXiv:1409.6247v3 [cs.FL] 14 Nov 2014

Learning Algorithm for Relation-Substitutable Context-Free Languages

Takayuki Kuriyama[1]

National Institute of Informatics, Tokyo, Japan
keitai.takayuki.sugaku@gmail.com

Abstract. We generalized the class of k,l-substitutable languages (Yoshinala, 2008). Each language in the generalized class is closed under a good substitutability. The substitutability is defined by a recognizable equivalence relation. We show the convergence of our generalized learning algorithm. The size of the characteristic sample is smaller than Yoshinaka's. **Keywords.** Context-free languages; Grammatical inference; Identification in the limit;Learning algorithms from text; Formal languages.

1 Introduction

In grammatical inference, efficient learning algorithms for context-free languages are one of the most topical issues. One goal is to find a reasonable language class for expressing natural languages. As Gold[3] showed, even the class of regular languages is not identifiable in the limit from positive data. Early in 1980s, the first non-trivial learning strategy for a class of regular languages has been found[2]. Clark and Eyraud[1] have shown that substitutable context-free languages are polynomial-time identifiable in the limit from positive data. Yoshinaka[5] gave a definition of a languages class "k,l-substitutable languages", which has hierarchy structure . We noticed that a k,l-substitutable language is represented by a language with respect to substitution of some recognizable equivalence relation.

2 Preliminaries

$\#S$ is the cardinal of a set S. The power set is $\mathcal{P}(S) = \{X|X \subseteq S\}$. The set of natural numbers is $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$, and ω is its cardinality. And $\mathcal{P}_F(S) := \{X \mid X \subseteq S \wedge \#X < \omega\}$. The Cartesian product of set S is $S^k = \{(x_1, ..., x_k) \mid 1 \leq i \leq k, x_i \in S\}$. *Alphabet* is a finite set. Σ^* is the free monoid over alphabet Σ. A *language* is a subset of Σ^*. For a language $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$, the *distribution* over $x \in \Sigma^*$ is defined by $D_L(x) = \{(l, r) \in (\Sigma^*)^2 \mid lxr \in L\}$. For $a \in \Sigma$ and $w \in \Sigma^*$, $\#(a, w)$ is the number of occurrence of 'a' in the string w. We denote the empty string by λ. A language $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ is *regular* iff there exists a finite monoid M, a subset $F \subseteq M$, and a homomorphism $h : \Sigma^* \to M$ such that $L = h^{-1}(F)$. A

context-free grammar (CFG) is defined by a quadruple $G = \langle V, \Sigma, P, S\rangle$ where V is a finite set of *nonterminals*, P is a finite set of members in form of $A \to \beta$ for some $A \in V$ and $\beta \in (V \cup \Sigma)^*$. We write $\alpha A\gamma \Rightarrow^*_G \alpha\beta\gamma$ if $A \to \beta \in P$ for $\alpha, \gamma \in (V \cup \Sigma)^*$. And $\Rightarrow^*$ is the reflexive transitive closure of $\Rightarrow$. We denote $\mathcal{L}(\alpha) := \{w \in \Sigma^* | \alpha \Rightarrow^*_G w\}$, specially $\mathcal{L}(S)$ is denoted by $\mathcal{L}(G)$. And $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ is a *context-free language* if for some CFG G, $L = \mathcal{L}(G)$. If $\mathcal{L}(G) = \mathcal{L}(G')$, then two CFGs are *equivalent*, denoted by $G \approx G'$. The *Chomsky normal form (CNF)* of a CFG is the CFG $\langle \Sigma, V, P, S\rangle$ such that each member of P is in the form of $S \to \lambda$, $A \to BC$, or $A \to a$ for some $A, B, C \in V$ and $a \in \Sigma$. And there is a *Fact:* $\forall G$:CFG $\exists G'$:CNF-CFG, $G \approx G'$.

And we now define our learning criterion. This is *identification in the limit from positive data* defined by Gold([3]). Assume $\mathbb{R}$ be some finite descriptions (grammars), say subclass context-free grammars. And assume $\mathcal{L}$ be a function from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathcal{P}(\Sigma^*)$ (languages). A *learning algorithm* is a computable function $\mathcal{A}$ from $\mathcal{P}_F(\Sigma^*)$ to $\mathbb{R}$. For a language $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$, a *presentation* is an infinite sequence $w_1, w_2, ...$ such that $\forall w \in L \exists n \in \mathbb{N}$, $w = w_n$. The n-th *hypothesis grammar* is $R_n = \mathcal{A}(w_1, ..., w_n)$. $\mathcal{A}$ is said to *identify* the class $\mathbb{L}$ of languages *in the limit from positive data* iff $\forall L \in \mathbb{L} \forall$ *presentation* for L, $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$, $L = \mathcal{L}(R_N) \wedge \forall n \geq N, \mathcal{L}(R_n) = \mathcal{L}(R_N)$.

We also require that the algorithm needs only polynomial amounts of data and computation. Collin de la Higuera[4] proposed a measure of it, the existence of a "core" of the target language. Our learning algorithm in this paper uses the characteristic set proposed in Clark and Eyraud's learning algorithm.

Characteristic Set(Clark and Eyraud, 2007). For a CFG $G = (V, \Sigma, P, S), \alpha \in (V \cup \Sigma)^*, A \in V, \omega(\alpha) := \min\{w \in \Sigma^* | \alpha \Rightarrow^*_G w\}$, $\chi(A) := \{\langle x, z\rangle \in (\Sigma^*)^2 | S \Rightarrow^*_G xAz\}$, where "min" is defined by the lexicographic order on Σ^*. For $\beta \in (V \cup \Sigma)^*$, if $A \to \beta \in P$ then $\chi(\beta) := \min\{\chi(A) | A \to \beta \in P)\}$. The characteristic set is defined by $\mathrm{CS}(G) := \{\omega(\beta) \odot \chi(A) | A \to \beta \in P\}$, where $y \odot \langle x, z\rangle := xyz$.

Remark 1. $\forall A \in V, \omega(A) \odot \chi(A) \in \mathrm{CS}(G)$. Because $\omega(A) \odot \chi(A) = \omega(\gamma') \odot \chi(A)$ for some γ' s.t. $A \to \gamma' \in P$.

Then we recall two fundamental classes of languages with some restrictive substitutability:

Substitutable languages(Clark and Eyraud, 2007). $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ is *substitutable* iff $\forall x, y \in \Sigma^*, D_L(x) \cap D_L(y) \neq \varnothing \Rightarrow D_L(x) = D_L(y)$.

k,l-Substitutable languages(Yoshinaka, 2008). $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ is *k,l-substitutable* iff
$\forall x, y \in \Sigma^*, u \in \Sigma^k, v \in \Sigma^l, D_L(uxv) \cap D_L(uyv) \neq \varnothing \Rightarrow D_L(uxv) = D_L(uyv)$.

3 Class Definition and Comparison with Yoshinaka's k, l-substitutable Class

In this section we show that our class is a generalization of the class of Yoshinaka(2008)'s. Assume $\sim$ be a recognizable equivalence relation on Σ^*[1]. We generalized k, l-substitutable class as follows;

Definition 2. *For a fixed recognizable equivalence relation* $\sim$, $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ *is* $\sim$-substitutable *iff* $\forall x, y \in \Sigma^*, D_L(x) \cap D_L(y) \neq \varnothing \wedge x \sim y \Rightarrow D_L(x) = D_L(y)$.

And we use the following class notations;

Definition 3. $\mathcal{C}_{k,l} := \{L \subseteq \Sigma^* \mid L \text{ is } k, l\text{-substitutable}\}$, $\mathcal{C}_\sim := \{L \subseteq \Sigma^* \mid L \text{ is } \sim\text{-substitutable}\}$.

First, we show the following fact;

Claim. $\forall k, l \in \mathbb{N}, \exists \sim,\ \mathcal{C}_{k,l} = \mathcal{C}_\sim$.

Proof. Assume $x \sim_{k,l} y :\Leftrightarrow x = y \vee \exists u \in \Sigma^k, \exists v \in \Sigma^l, \{x, y\} \in u\Sigma^* v$. Clearly $\sim_{k,l}$ is an equivalence relation of finite index. And each equivalence class is in form of $\{w\}$ or $u\Sigma^* v$, so it is regular. Thus $\sim_{k,l}$ is a recognizable relation.

Then we show that our class is strictly inclusive. For that, we introduce another example of recognizable equivalence relations;

Symbol Counting with Bound. For $a \in \Sigma, d \in \mathbb{N}$, and $x, y \in \Sigma^*$, $x \sim_{\#a,d} y :\Leftrightarrow \#(a, x) = \#(a, y) \leq d \vee (\#(a, x) > d \wedge \#(a, y) > d)$. $\sim_{\#a,d}$ is a recognizable equivalence relation.

Claim. There exists a language $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ which is $\sim_{\#a,d}$-substitutable but not k, l-substitutable for any k, l.

Proof. $L = b^* \cup b^* a b^*$ is a $\sim_{\#a,d}$-substitutable language for any $d \geq 1$. For any $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$, $L \ni b^k b b^l, b^k a b^l$ so $b \sim_{k,l} a$. And $D_L(a) \cap D_L(b) \ni (b^i, b^j)$. But $D_L(b) \setminus D_L(a) \ni (b^i, a b^j)$.

And on intersection, we have the following result;

Lemma 4. $\forall \sim_1, \sim_2 \exists \sim$, $\mathcal{C}_{\sim_1} \cup \mathcal{C}_{\sim_2} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_\sim$.

Proof. Assume $x \sim y :\Leftrightarrow x \sim_1 y \wedge x \sim_2 y$. Then $\sim$ is a recognizable equivalence relation. If $L \in \mathcal{L}_1 \cup \mathcal{L}_2$, then L is $\sim_i$-substitutable for some i. So $D_L(x) \cap D_L(y) \neq \varnothing \wedge x \sim y \Rightarrow D_L(x) = D_L(y)$.

By lemma 4, we proved the following fact.

[1] An 2-ary relation $\sim$ on Σ^* is *recognizable* if there exist a finite monoid $(M, \circ, 1)$, a monoid homomorphism $h : \Sigma^* \to M$, and a subset F of M^2 such that $x_1 \sim x_2$ if and only if $(h(x_1), h(x_2)) \in F$.

Claim. $\forall k, l \in \mathbb{N}, \exists \sim,\ \mathcal{C}_{k,l} \subsetneq \mathcal{C}_{\sim}$.

For k, l-substitutable class, $\forall k \leq k', l \leq l', \mathcal{C}_{k,l} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{k',l'}$ holds. If $k < k' \vee l < l'$, $\subseteq$ turns to $\subsetneq$. For our substitutable class, this property and lemma4 is generally stated by;

Fact 5. $\sim \subseteq \sim'$ implies $\mathcal{C}_{\sim'} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{\sim}$.

Notice that the equivalence relation itself is *not* recognizable. The point of to widen the identifiable languages class is find a recognizable relation as *near* as equality;

Remark 6. The equivalence relation $=$ is *not* recognizable relation on Σ^*.

4 Lemma of Typing CFGs with a Finite Monoid

In this section we give a basic lemma for proving the convergence of our learning algorithm.

Lemma 7. *Assume M be a finite monoid and $h : \Sigma^* \to M$ is a homomorphism. For any CFG G, there exists a CFG $G' = (V', \Sigma', P', S')$ such that $G \approx G'$ and $\forall A \in V' \setminus \{S'\}$, $A \Rightarrow^*_{G'} w$ implies $p = h(w)$.*

Proof. Assume the given CFG be (V, Σ, P, S) and in CNF. We define $G' = (V', \Sigma, P', S')$ as follows: $V' := \{A_p \mid A \in V \wedge p \in M\} \cup \{S'\}$, $P' := \{A_{pq} \to B_p C_q \mid A \to BC \in P\} \cup \{A_p \to a \mid A \to a \in P \wedge p = h(a)\}$. Then the following is proved by induction; **(1)** $\forall A_p \in V' \setminus \{S'\}, A_p \Rightarrow^*_{G'} w$ implies $p = h(w)$. **(2)** $\forall A_p \in V' \setminus \{S'\}, \mathcal{L}(A_p) = \mathcal{L}(A) \cap h^{-1}(p)$, thus $\mathcal{L}(G) = \mathcal{L}(G')$.

Proof for **(1)** is as follows: for basic case of $A_p \to a \wedge p = h(a)$, the statement is clear. In induction step, assume $A_{pq} \Rightarrow B_p C_q \Rightarrow^* w_1 w_2 \wedge B_p \Rightarrow^* w_1 \wedge C_q \Rightarrow^* w_2$ and $p = h(w_1) \wedge q = h(w_2)$. Thus $pq = h(w_1)h(w_2) = h(w_1 w_2)$.

Proof for **(2)** is as follows: $w \in \mathcal{L}(A_{pq}) \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}(A) \cap h^{-1}(pq)$ is proved similarly to **(1)**. Assume $A \Rightarrow BC \Rightarrow^* w_1 w_2 \wedge B \Rightarrow^* w_1 \wedge C \Rightarrow^* w_2$. By I.H., $w_1 \in \mathcal{L}(B_{h(w_1)}) \wedge w_2 \in \mathcal{L}(C_{h(w_2)})$. Thus $w_1 w_2 \in \mathcal{L}(A_{h(w_1)h(w_2)}) = \mathcal{L}(A_{h(w_1 w_2)})$.

5 Learning Algorithm

In this section, we give a polynomial-time identification learning algorithm for $\sim$-substitutable languages. Assume L_* be the target $\sim$-substitutable language. The learning algorithm for the class is the following:

Assume we *know* the equivalence relation $\sim$. Given a positive example K, define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{V}(K) &= \{\, [x] \mid \exists uv \in \Sigma^*, uxv \in K \wedge x \neq \lambda \,\} \cup \{\hat{S}\}, \\
\hat{P}(K) &= \{\, [xy] \to [x][y] \mid [xy], [x], [y] \in \hat{V}(K) \,\} \cup \\
&\quad \{\, [x] \to [x'] \mid x \sim x' \wedge [x], [x'] \in \hat{V}(K) \wedge D_K(x) \cap D_K(x') \neq \varnothing \,\} \cup \\
&\quad \{\, [a] \to a \mid [a] \in \hat{V}(K) \wedge a \in \Sigma \,\} \cup \\
&\quad \{\, \hat{S} \to [w] \mid w \in K \},
\end{aligned}
$$

and the learning algorithm is:

Data: A sequence of strings $w_1, w_2, ...$
Result: A sequence of CFGs $G_1, G_2, ...$
  Let $\hat{G}$ be a CFG generating empty language;
  For $n = 1, 2, ...$ **do**
    Read the next string w_n;
    If $w_n \notin \mathcal{L}(\hat{G})$ then
      let $\hat{G} = \langle \Sigma, \hat{V}(K), \hat{P}(K), \hat{S} \rangle$ where $K = \{w_1, w_2, ..., w_n\}$
    end if
  output $\hat{G}$
  End For

Clearly, our algorithm *never* produces illegal strings;

Claim. For $K \in \mathcal{P}_F(\Sigma^*)$, $\mathcal{L}(\hat{G}(K)) \subseteq L_*$.

Proof. Assume $v([w]) := w$ be a homomorphism from $(\Sigma \cup \hat{V}(K))^*$ to Σ^*. We prove that $\hat{S} \Rightarrow [w] \Rightarrow \alpha\beta\gamma \Rightarrow^n v(\alpha\beta\gamma)$ implies $v(\alpha\beta\gamma) \in L_*$ by induction on integer n. In case of $n = 0$, clear. Assume $\hat{S} \Rightarrow [w] \Rightarrow^* \alpha\beta\gamma \Rightarrow \alpha\beta'\gamma \Rightarrow^* v(\alpha\beta'\gamma)$, where $\beta \to \beta' \in P(K)$. We consider the case of the rule $\beta \to \beta'$ is in form of $[v(\beta)] \to [v(\beta')]$, with $D_L(v(\beta)) \cap D_L(v(\beta')) \neq \varnothing \wedge v(\beta) \sim v(\beta')$. By induction hypothesis $\langle v(\alpha), v(\gamma) \rangle \in D_L(v(\beta'))$. Thus $\langle v(\alpha), v(\gamma) \rangle \in D_L(v(\beta))$ by substitutability. The other case is clear.

Then we show the opposite direction: $\mathcal{L}(\hat{G(K)}) \supseteq L_*$. This proposition holds under the condition that given positive examples contain the characteristic set of the target CFL. So we assume the following the *learning premise*.

Learning Premise. Suppose that the target language L_* is generated by a CFG $G_* = \langle \Sigma, V, P, S \rangle$ converted to the normal form given in *lemma* 7. And suppose that $K \supseteq \mathrm{CS}(G_*)$. And assume $\lambda \notin L_*$.

Remark 8. Any given *presentation* must contain $\mathrm{CS}(G_*)$ at some point of time.

Then we show the lemma for our main theorem;

Lemma 9. $\forall A \in V \setminus \{S\}, \forall w \in \Sigma^*$, $A \Rightarrow_G^* w$ *implies* $[\omega(A)] \Rightarrow_{\hat{G}(K)}^* w$.

Proof. Suppose that $A \Rightarrow_G^* BC$. By lemma 7, $h(\omega(A)) = h(\omega(BC))$, so $\omega(A) \sim \omega(BC)$. And notice that $\omega(A) \odot \chi(A), \omega(BC) \odot \chi(A) \in \mathrm{CS}(G)$. Thus $[\omega(A)] \Rightarrow_{\hat{G}(K)} [\omega(BC)]$. And $\omega(BC) = \omega(B)\omega(C)$.

Theorem 10. *Under the learning premise,* $L_* \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\hat{G}(K))$.

Proof. Let $A := S$ in lemma9.

6 Polynomial Time and Data

The proof of efficiency of our algorithm is similar to Yoshinaka[5]. Notice that the judgment of "$x \sim y$?" ends in linear order time. And characteristic set which our learning theory demands is strictly smaller than Yoshinaka's.

First we show that our learning algorithm is of polynomial-time.

Lemma 11. *Assume the question "$w_1 \sim w_2$?" is decidable in polynomial time, then the computation of $\hat{G}(K)$ with finite K ends in polynomial time of the description of K.*

Proof. We define the description $\mathrm{Desk}(K) := \Sigma_{w \in K}\mathrm{Len}(w)$. And denote $\mathrm{MaxLen}(K) := \max\{\mathrm{Len}(w) \mid w \in K\}$.

(1) The computation of $[x] \to [y]$ with $x \sim y$ costs $\mathcal{O}(\#K^2 \cdot \{\mathrm{MaxLen}(K)(\mathrm{MaxLen}(K)-1)\}^2)$ time.

(2) The computation of $S \to [x]$ is just reading up the set K. This costs $\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{Desk}(K))$ time.

(3) The way of dividing of the string w is $\mathrm{Len}(w)-1$, so the computation of the rule $[xy] \to [x][y]$ costs $\mathcal{O}(\#K \cdot \{\mathrm{MaxLen}(K)(\mathrm{MaxLen}(K)-1)\} \cdot (\mathrm{Len}(w)-1))$ time.

And notice that $\mathrm{Desk}(K) > \#K, \mathrm{MaxLen}(K)$.

Our characteristic set is by Clark and Eyraud's definition, so it is polynomial data. Thus the following fact is proved;

Proposition 12. *Our learning algorithm is* efficient*, i.e., a homomorphism-substitutable language is identifiable in the limit from polynomial time and data.*

7 Example of *Not* Relation-Substitutable Language

The following example is given by Yoshinaka(2008), an example of *not* in $\mathcal{C}_{k,l}$ for any k, l. This is also not in $\mathcal{C}_\sim$ for any recognizable equivalence relation $\sim$.

Claim. $L := \mathcal{L}(G)$, where $G = \{S \to aSS, S \to b\}$. L is not $\sim$-substitutable for any recognizable equivalence relation $\sim$.

Proof. Suppose that L is $\sim$-substitutable for some $\sim\colon \Sigma^* \to M$, where M is a finite monoid. By pigeon-hole principle on M^2, $\exists N, k \in \mathbb{N}$, $a^N b^N \sim a^{N+k} b^{N+k}$.
Then $a^{N+k}(b^N a^N)b^{N+k+1} = a^k(a(a^{N-1}b^N)(a^N b^{N+1}))b^k \in L$,
$a^{N+k}(b^{N+k}a^{N+k})b^{N+k+1} = a(a^{N+k-1}b^{N+k})(a^{N+k}b^{N+k+1}) \in L$, and
$a^N(b^N a^N)b^{N+1} = a(a^{N-1}b^N)(a^N b^{N+1}) \in L$. But
$a^N(b^{N+k}a^{N+k})b^{N+1} = (a^N b^{N+1})b^{k-1}a^{N+k}b^{N+1} \notin L$.

Acknowledgements.
Professor Kanazawa-sensei gave me a lot of advice. Yoshinaka-sensei, without his super proir works and advices in ICGI 2014, my study would not go. And this work owes to Professor Clark's advice in ICGI 2014.

References

1. Clark, A., Eyraud, R.: Polynomial identification in the limit of substitutable context-free languages. Journal of Machine Learning Research 8, 1725–1745 (2007)
2. Engelfriet, J., Skyum, S.: Inference of reversible languages. Journal of the ACM (JACM) Homepage archive 29 (1982)
3. Gold, E.M.: Language identification in the limit. Information and Control 10(5), 447–474 (1967), http://groups.lis.illinois.edu/amag/langev/paper/gold67limit.html
4. de la Higuera, C.: Characteristic sets for polynomial grammatical inderence. Machine Learning 27, 125–138 (1997)
5. Yoshinaka, R.: Identification in the limit of k, l-substitutable context-free languages. Grammatical Inference: Algorithms and Applications Lecture Notes in Conmuter Science 5278, 266–279 (2008)